


A comprehensive Approach towards Orbital Trauma Reconstruction



Orbital Reconstruction

Orbital reconstruction is a persistent challenge for every CMF surgeon as it comes in a multitude of different forms. Usually it is impossible to accurately reposition every bony fragment, leading to impairment and compromised aesthetic and functional outcomes. Another key question is, which material is better for a given defect? Certainly, biodegradable implants have an advantage because they give a clear answer on the subject whether or not to remove metallic implants in the orbits.


But do they maintain sufficient support for a stable and parallel position of the eye over time? On the other hand titanium as well as all further alloplastic materials also have their disadvantages: Bony overgrowth may result in virtual impossibility to remove the osteosynthesis material. Finetuning of many existing alloplastic implants may lead to sharp crests or edges, which could then lead to complications with the delicate soft tissue structures close by.

In cooperation with the trauma section of the Strasbourg Osteosynthesis Research Group (S.O.R.G.), KLS Martin was seeking for a comprehensive approach to the management of orbital trauma.

Based on the recognized classification of Jaquiéry et al. the trauma section has evaluated treatment principles according to size and extension of the fracture site. Additional instruments and tools are there to aid reconstruction in the operating room.

Case pictures


Today's imaging possibilities have increased surgeon's possibilities and patient's expectations considerably. The pictures show a large defect on patient's right side. Mirroring the intact left side (pink color) on the defective side (green color) shows the discrepancy between a symmetrical appearance and the existing fracture. The complex 3D-structure of the orbita floor is also clearly visible.



Classification of Orbital Trauma

Jaquiéry et al. differentiated between the following classes in orbital trauma:

■ Class I Small, isolated defects of the orbital floor or the medial orbital wall of approx. 1 – 2 cm².



■ Class II Defects of the orbital floor and/or the medial orbital wall > 2 cm². Bony structures of the medial wall of the infraorbital fissure are intact.



■ Class III Defects of the orbital floor and/or the medial orbital wall > 2 cm², without bony structures of the infraorbital fissure.



■ Class IV Defects of the whole orbital floor and the medial wall to the infraorbital fissure.




Source:

Jaquiéry, C., et al.,

Reconstruction of orbital wall defects: critical review of 72 patients.

Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 36(3), 2007, S. 193 –199




Class I Defects

- Small, isolated defects of the orbital floor or the medial orbital wall of approx. 1 – 2 cm².
- Defects of this size are the most frequent ones. They represent approx.
 90% of all internal orbital trauma cases.
- As the bony support of the fracture site is still present, small biodegradable implants are usually the material of choice for reconstruction.
- Diplopia is usually not to be expected.
- The operation will usually be straight forward and should require minimal hardware involvement.

Biodegradable Solutions



Inferior support of the globe in cases with a small defect, round edge geometry, fixation is usually not required






52-301-28-04 1 Membrane 25 x 25 mm = 0.1 mm



52-301-38-04 1 Membrane 25 x 25 mm = 0.1 mm



Class II Defects

- Defects of the orbital floor and/or the medial orbital wall > 2 cm². Bony structures of the medial wall of the infraorbital fissure are intact.
- For defects of this extension resorbable material is usually the method of choice as a bony support is still to be expected.
- Because of the larger extension and the sometimes already complexer forms the use of the Xcelsior waterbath should be considered.

Explanation of icons



Resorb x°




Units/pack Plate profile



Biodegradable Solutions



52-306-23-04



Ø = 23 mm3 = 0.6 mm



52-306-19-04



Mesh = 0.3 mm

Tip in order to protect the posterior optic nerve. Fixation by SonicPins Rx®



52-302-31-04



30 x 30 mm

= 0.2 mm

With more rounded tip to reduce risk to the optic nerve. Fixation by SonicPins Rx®



52-302-41-04



40 x 40 mm

 $= 0.2 \, \text{mm}$

With more rounded tip to reduce risk to the optic nerve. Fixation by SonicPins Rx®



52-301-28-04

Membrane 25 x 25 mm $= 0.1 \, \text{mm}$



52-301-38-04

 $= 0.1 \, \text{mm}$

Membrane 25 x 25 mm



52-301-20-04

Membrane 50 x 20 mm

= 0.1 mm



52-302-30-04


Membrane 50 x 20 mm = 0.2 mm



Class III Defects

- Defects of the orbital floor and/or the medial orbital wall > 2 cm², with loss of the bony structures of the infraorbital fissure.
- This defect is certainly on the borderline between biodegradable (Resorb x*) and titanium reconstructions.
- Depending on the surgical technique and the access used, the surgeon will choose either resorbable or metal implant.
- Consequently, the KLS Martin product portfolio includes both kinds of products.


Biodegradable Solutions





52-306-30-04 \bigcirc 1 Mesh for orbital floor $\emptyset = 30 \text{ mm}$

= 0.6 mm




Class IV Defects

- Defects of the whole orbita floor and the medial wall to the infraorbital fissure.
- Lazy-S-geometry requires accurate contouring.

Class IV defects always show lack of bony support for any kind of implant. They may differ considerably in form and extension. Therefore only titanium implants are suggested. They should be easy to bend and cut, without leaving sharp edges, which could interfere with surrounding soft tissues.

Titanium Solutions – for Class III and Class IV Defects

25-043-06-09 1 1 = 0.2 mm 25-043-07-09 1 1 = 0.3 mm Mesh for orbital floor, fan-shaped 1.5 mm Micro System



25-043-16-09 1 1 = 0.2 mm 25-043-17-09 1 1 = 0.3 mm

Mesh for orbital floor, fan-shaped

Explanation of icons

Resorb x°


Titanium

1 Units/pack


Plate profile

STERILE | R | Sterile packed implants







25-042-15-09 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc = 0.3 mm 25-042-15-71 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc = 0.3 mm Mesh for orbital floor, contoured



25-042-16-09 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc = 0.3 mm 25-042-16-71 \bigcirc 1 \bigcirc = 0.3 mm Mesh for orbital floor, contoured





25-043-09-09 **11 1 3** = 0.3 mm 25-043-09-71 **11 1 3** = 0.3 mm

Mesh for orbital floor

25-044-04-09 1 1 25-044-04-71 1 1 Orbital plate, Groove, non-preshaped, symmetrical = 0.3 mm


Orbital Groove Plate

One implant with a lot of options!


While still easy to insert, the optimized "Groove Plate" offers a considerable support to the eye globe. Various cutting options allow you to start with a full size implant, then remove sections easily. Below you will find some of the possible modifications which correspond to variation of the orbital trauma encountered. The implant can be applied on both sides of the orbit. In cases with lacking bony support, the central medial bar can be seated posteriorly in order to additionally stabilize the position of the eye.

New Titanium Solutions for Class III and Class IV Defects


Standard Implants Cutting Options




Complete reduction of the medial wing




Cutting options of the posterior segment




Partial cutting back of the medial wall and the posterior segment



Partial reduction of the medial wing



Partial reduction of the medial wing



Lateral, medial and posterior reduction

Smart Orbital Groove Plate



Explanation of icons



Titanium



Units/pack



Plate profile

STERILE | R | Sterile packed implants


Smart Implants

In close colaboration with the University of Amsterdam KLS Martin has improved the first generation of preformed orbital floor plates with regard to the outline as well as the bending of the plates.

The shape of the new Smart Groove Plate was created using a compilation of 174 adult CT scans to develop the best possible "average" fit.

Smart Implants bear the name "Smart" as they have a preformed shape based on a scientific background whereas conventional standard implants have a simple flat shape.

Page 10 also shows the cutting options for the "Smart Groove Plate".




25-044-32-09 11 11

25-044-32-71 11 11

Smart Orbital Plate, Groove 3D-preshaped, for patient's left side

 $= 0.4 \, \text{mm}$




25-044-33-09 11 11

25-044-33-71 11 11

Smart Orbital Plate, Groove 3D-preshaped, for patient's right side


= 0.4 mm

Smart Orbital Grid Plate



New Titanium Solutions for Class III and Class IV Defects

= 0.5 mm



= 0.5 mm

Orbital Rim Fractures







Resorb x®



Titanium



Units/pack



Plate profile

STERILE | R | Sterile packed implants

Biodegradable Solutions



Orbita plate, 8-hole == 1.0 mm



52-176-08-04 Matching bending template

Titanium Solutions



25-332-08-09 11 11 25-332-08-71 1111

 $= 0.6 \, \text{mm}$

1.5 mm Micro System



25-325-06-09 🗊 🗊 25-325-06-91 11 11 25-325-06-71 11 11

= 0.6 mm



25-325-08-09 🕡 🗊 25-325-08-91 11 11

25-325-08-71 1 1

= 0.6 mm



25-325-10-09 🕡 👨 25-325-10-91 11 11

25-325-10-71 11 11

= 0.6 mm

2.0 mm Mini System



50-400-06-09 🗊 👨 50-400-06-91 1 1

50-400-06-71 1 1

= 0.6 mm



50-400-08-09 🗊 🗐 50-400-08-91 1111

50-400-08-71 1111



= 0.7 mm

Instruments, Tools and Helpers



Metzenbaum-Lahey 11-965-14-07

14.5 cm / 5 ⁶/8"

slender pattern








Kaye 28-215-03-07-28-215-04-07 $13 \, \mathrm{cm} / 5 \, ^{1}/\mathrm{s}$ "









15-091-18-07 $16 \text{ cm } / 6^{\,2}/\text{s}"$ St 1

Instruments, Tools and Helpers














25-050-13-07 13 cm / 5 ¹/₈" Cutter





25-052-13-07 12.5 cm / 4 ⁷/s" Mesh cutter






Instruments, Tools and Helpers











38-697-01-07

20~cm / $7~^{7}/\text{s}^{\prime\prime}$

Spatula, orbital, straight, malleable, 2 different working ends



St 1 Set

38-697-02-07

 $18\ cm\ /\ 7\ ^1/s^{\prime\prime}$ Spatula, orbital,

straight, malleable



38-695-21-07

20 cm / 7 ⁷/8"

Left spatula, orbital, contoured, malleable, small and large working end



38-696-21-07

 $20\;cm$ / $7^{\,7}/s"$

Right spatula, orbital, contoured, malleable, small and large working end



Orbital Reconstruction Set



Suggestion for the Set Configuration

Description	Item No.	Qty:
Kaye Face-lift Hooklet, 13 cm / 5 1/8", 5-pronged	28-215-04-07	1
Desmarres Saddle Hook, 12 mm, 16 cm / 6 ²/8"	15-091-12-07	1
Desmarres Saddle Hook, 14 mm, 16 cm / 6 ² / ₈ "	15-091-14-07	1
Desmarres Saddle Hook, 16 mm, 16 cm / 6 ²/8"	15-091-16-07	1
Metzenbaum-Lahey Dissecting Scissors	11-965-14-07	1
Donavan Orbital Rim Retractor, 19.5 cm/ 7 5/8"	38-694-20-07	1
Cutter, 13 cm / 5 1/8"	25-050-13-07	1
Mesh Cutter, 13 cm / 5 1/8"	25-052-13-07	1
Orbita Retractor, straight, malleable, 2 different working ends	38-697-01-07	2
Orbita Retractor, straight, malleable	38-697-02-07	1
Byrd Zygoma Reduction Screw	38-709-03-07	1



Storage Proposal

Description	Item No.	Qty
Storage Tray for miniSet Container 277 x 171 x 54 mm	55-804-15-01	1
Silicone Mat for miniSet Container	55-009-08-04	1
Microstop® miniSet Container 310 x 189 x 90 mm	55-861-51-04	1
Logistic Frame red for Container	55-864-12-04	1
Coding Label w/o hole for the Lid	55-864-01-04	1
- to be marked "Orbita Trauma" -		
Coding Label for the Front	55-864-05-04	1
- to be marked "Orbita Trauma" -		
In addition		
Storage Solution for 1.0 mm micro, 1.5 mm micro,		
2.0 mm Mini and/or SonicWeld® Implants		

^{*}according to components selected

Jaquiéry, C., et al.,
 Reconstruction of orbital wall defects: critical review of 72 patients.
 Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 36(3), 2007, S. 193 –199

■ Gear, A. J. L., et al., Safety of titanium mesh for orbital reconstruction. Ann Plast Surg, 48(1), 2002, S. 1 – 9

Kamer, L., et al., Orbital form analysis: problems with design and positioning of precontoured orbital implants: a serial study using post-processed clinical CT data in unaffected orbits. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 39(7), 2010, S. 666 – 672

Lamecker, H., et al.,
 A method for the three-dimensional statistical shape analysis of the bony orbit.
 Proc Computer Aided Surgery Around the Head, 2007,
 S. 94 – 97



SonicWeld Rx®



Individual Patient Solutions



Osteosynthesis 1.0 Micro



Osteosynthesis 1.5 Micro



Osteosynthesis 2.0 Mini



Sterile Technology Sterilization Containerand Tray Systems



General Catalog

KLS Martin Group

KLS Martin Australia Pty Ltd.

Sydney · Australia Tel. +61 2 9439 5316 australia@klsmartin.com

Martin Italia S.r.l.

Milan · Italy Tel. +39 039 605 67 31 italia@klsmartin.com

Gebrüder Martin GmbH & Co. KG

Moscow · Russia Tel. +7 499 792-76-19 russia@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin do Brasil Ltda.

São Paulo · Brazil Tel. +55 11 3554 2299 brazil@klsmartin.com

Nippon Martin K.K.

Tokyo · Japan Tel. +81 3 3814 1431 nippon@klsmartin.com

Gebrüder Martin GmbH & Co. KG

Dubai · United Arab Emirates Tel. +971 4 454 16 55 middleeast@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin Medical (Shanghai) International Trading Co., Ltd.

Shanghai · China Tel. +86 21 5820 6251 china@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin SE Asia Sdn. Bhd.

Penang · Malaysia Tel. +604 505 7838 malaysia@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin UK Ltd.

London · United Kingdom Tel. +44 1189 000 570 uk@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin India Pvt Ltd.

Chennai · India Tel. +91 44 66 442 300 india@klsmartin.com

Martin Nederland/Marned B.V.

Huizen · The Netherlands Tel. +31 35 523 45 38 nederland@klsmartin.com

KLS Martin LP

Jacksonville · Florida, USA Tel. +1 904 641 77 46 usa@klsmartin.com

Gebrüder Martin GmbH & Co. KG A company of the KLS Martin Group

KLS Martin Platz $1\cdot 78532$ Tuttlingen \cdot Germany P.O. Box $60\cdot 78501$ Tuttlingen \cdot Germany Tel. +49 7461 706-0 \cdot Fax +49 7461 706-193 info@klsmartin.com \cdot www.klsmartin.com

